arXiv:1701.00135v1 [math.RA] 31 Dec 2016

Modules Over the Ring of Ponderation functions with Applications to a Class of Integral Operators

Miloud Assal [(1)] **& Nasr A. Zeyada** [(2)]

[(1)] Carthage University, IPEIN, Department of Mathematics, 8000,Tunisia.
Miloud.Assal@fst.rnu.tn
[(2)]Cairo University, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, Egypt.
nzeyada@sci.cu.edu.eg

Abstract

In this paper we introduce new modules over the ring of ponderation functions, so we recover old results in harmonic analysis from the side of ring theory. Moreover, we prove that Laplace transform, Fourier transform and Hankel transform generate some kind of modules over the ring of ponderation functions.

Keywords: Rings; Ideals; Modules; Bessel function; Bessel integral operators.

AMS Classification: 13C05; 13C10; 44A20.

1 Introduction

Recently, Assal M. and Zeyada N. [1] has introduced a new ring of ponderation functions $\mathcal{R}$ defined as a class of coercive complex-valued function φ over $\mathbb{N}^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, inspired from the work of Nguon [2, 3]. Our goal is to explore some classes of modules over the ring $\mathcal{R}$ in order to obtain a large module of operators involving ponderation functions as generators. Our contribution consists to bring out an interplay between algebra formalization and operator's theory, which is to our best knowledge remains a new approach combining ring theory results with ones of analysis. So it has been observed that a long list of standard module's properties remain also valid in our context, while there are many other properties that cannot usefully be examined and hence cannot be proved or disproved in the new context. We mention that, interaction among these two fields leads to powerful results in analysis as well in ring theory, furthermore details about ring theory can be found in [4] and [5].
Although an in-depth study of operator theory falls outside the scope of this paper, it seems appropriate to collect some harmonic analysis results in such a setting which is close to the ring of ponderation functions. Hence, we combine some results that turned out to be relevant for different purposes in algebra as well in harmonic analysis together with special functions and we focus here on Bessel functions and its different variances. So, thanks to some isomorphism results, the connection between ponderation structures and operators ones becomes more easy.
This paper is organized as follows: In the second section we summarize the main results over the ring $\mathcal{R}$ obtained in [1] without illustrating more details.
The third section is devoted to introduce some classes of modules over the ring R, so we recover old results in harmonic analysis from the side of ring theory. Moreover, we prove that Laplace transform, Fourier transform and Hankel transform generate some kind of operator rings connected with the ring of ponderation functions.

2 Preliminaries

A ponderation function is a coercive complex-valued function φ defined on $\mathbb{N}^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that there exist a real number M and positive constants c, and C satisfying

$$c|\gamma|^d \leq |\varphi(\gamma)| \leq C|\gamma|^d$$

as $\inf\limits_{1\leq j\leq n} \gamma_j$ tends to infinity, where for $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ..., \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\gamma| = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + ... + \gamma_n$. In order to induce the set of ponderation function with a ring structure we introduce a class of regularizing functions $\{\chi_A : A \subset \mathbb{N}^n\}$, where $\chi_A(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad \gamma \in A \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad \gamma \notin A \end{cases}$
and we denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the set of ponderation functions. We point here if $|A^c| = \infty$, χ_A does not belong to $\mathcal{P}$. It has been proved in [1] that the set $\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \sum_{\text{finite}} \chi_{A_i}\varphi_i : A_i \subset \mathbb{N}^n, \varphi_i \in \mathcal{P} \right\}$ is a commutative ring with the usual operations "+" and "$\cdot$", called the ring of ponderation functions. Moreover an ideal of $\mathcal{R}$, is minimal if and only if it is of the form $\chi_B\mathcal{R}$ for some $B \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|B| = 1$, while for all $A \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|A^c| = 1$ the ideal $I = \chi_A\mathcal{R}$ is maximal.
It has been proved in [3] that for all $\psi \in \mathcal{R}$ and $M \geq 0$ the series

$$I_M^{\psi}(\overline{z}, u) := 2^{-M} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!(m+M)!} \sum_{|\gamma|=m} \frac{m!}{\gamma!} \psi(\gamma)\overline{z}^{\gamma}u^{\gamma}. \tag{1}$$

is absolutely converging in the whole space $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$. Moreover, the functions defined in a small enough neighborhood of the diagonal Δ by $a_{\psi}(\overline{z}, u) = \frac{I_{n-1}^{\psi}(\overline{z},u)}{I_{n-1}^{1}(\overline{z},u)}$ are well defined since $I_{n-1}^{1}(\overline{z}, u)$ does not vanish on Δ. This class of functions will be called symbols and denoted by $\mathcal{S}$. Moreover, the convolution $a_{\theta} \star a_{\psi}(\overline{z}, u) = \frac{I_{n-1}^{\theta\psi}(\overline{z},u)}{I_{n-1}^{1}(\overline{z},u)}$ defines over $\mathcal{S}$ a commutative ring.

Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote by $\mathcal{E}^s$ the space of entire functions f defined on $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $< f, f >_s$ is finite, where $< f, g >_s := \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(z)\overline{g(z)}d\mu_s(z)$, with $\mu_s(z) = \pi^{-2n}2^{-2s}K_{2s}^{+}(2|z|)$, and K_{ν} is the MacDonald function where $K_{\nu}^{+}(t) = t^{\nu}K_{\nu}(t)$.

The set $\mathcal{E}^s$ is not the same as the Fock space since the asymptotic at infinity of the weight function $K_{2s}^{+}(2|z|)$ which defines the measure on $\mathcal{E}^s$ is equal to $c.|z|^{2s-\frac{1}{2}}e^{2|z|}(1 + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{|z|}))$, while the weight function which defines the measure on the Fock space is $e^{-c|z|^2}$ for some constant c. We write $\mathcal{E}^{\infty} := \bigcup_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{E}^s$.
For all $\varphi \in \mathcal{R}$ we define the operator $B_{a_{\varphi}}$ over $\mathcal{E}^{\infty}$ by

$$B_{a_{\varphi}}(f)(u) = (\frac{\pi}{2})^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} (I_{n-1}^{1} \times a_{\varphi})(\overline{z}, u)f(z)d\mu(z),\ f \in \mathcal{E}^{\infty}, \tag{2}$$

where $d\mu$ is the measure $d\mu_0$. Moreover the set $\mathcal{O}$ of operator $B_{a_{\varphi}}$ induced with the composition operation is a commutative ring [1].

Theorem 1. *The rings $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{O}$ are isomorphic and the following diagram is commutative*



where H and G are the maps introduced in [1, Proposition 12].

Proof. According to [1, Proposition 12], we may need only to prove that G is one to one. Hence, suppose that $G(a_\varphi) = 0$, then it turns out that $B_{a_\varphi}(f) = 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{E}$, and since $z \longrightarrow a_\varphi(z,u)$ is an entire function for all $u \in \mathbb{C}^n$, it follows that a_φ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{E}$, and therefore $a_\varphi = 0$ by reason of density .

▲

3 Modules over the Ring $\mathcal{R}$

Definition 1. *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a ring and $\mathcal{M}$ be an additive abelian group. $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be a left $\mathcal{T}-$module if there is a map from $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that*

1. *$a(x+y) = ax + ay$ for all $a \in \mathcal{T}$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$.*
2. *$(a+b)x = ax + bx$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{T}$ and $x \in \mathcal{M}$.*
3. *$(ab)x = a(bx)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{T}$ and $x \in \mathcal{M}$.*

Example 1. *The following sets are modules over $\mathcal{R}$.*

- *The set $\mathcal{N}$ of all functions from $\mathbb{N}^n$ to $\mathbb{C}$ (by standard action).*
- *The rings $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{O}$ (by theorem 1) .*

In what follows we deal with modules $\mathcal{M}$ of the form $\mathcal{M} = \mathbf{g}\mathcal{R}$, where $\mathbf{g} \notin \mathcal{R}$, so it is well known that if $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{R}$, and $\mathbf{g}$ is invertible, then $\mathbf{g}\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}$. According to definition 1, if $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathbf{g}\mathcal{R}$ with $\mathbf{g} \notin \mathcal{R}$, the action

$$\mathcal{R} \times \mathbf{g}\mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{g}\mathcal{R}$$
$$(\psi, \mathbf{g}\varphi) \longmapsto \mathbf{g}(\psi\varphi)$$

satisfies properties 1, 2, and 3 of definition 1, and then $\mathcal{M} = \mathbf{g}\mathcal{R}$ is a module over $\mathcal{R}$.

Definition 2. *For a module $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{R}$ and for all $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{M}$, we define formally the corresponding symbol a_g as in* (1) *and the corresponding operator $B_{a_\mathbf{g}}$ as in* (2)*.*

3.1 Free Modules

A module $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be free if it has a basis. Since $|\gamma|!$ and $c^{|\gamma|}, c > 0$, do not belong to the ring $\mathcal{R}$ and are non zero everywhere, then $|\gamma|!\mathcal{R}$ and $c^{|\gamma|}\mathcal{R}$ are free modules over $\mathcal{R}$. The corresponding free modules over $\mathcal{S}$ are of the form $a_{|\gamma|!} \star \mathcal{S}$ and $a_{c^{|\gamma|}} \star \mathcal{S}$, while the corresponding free modules over $\mathcal{O}$ are of the form $B_{a_{|\gamma|!}} \circ \mathcal{O}$ and $B_{a_{c^{|\gamma|}}} \circ \mathcal{O}$ respectively.

Theorem 2. *1. Let $\mathbf{g_1} = (|\gamma| + n - 1)!(-1)^{|\gamma|}$. Then $\mathbf{g_1}$ is not in $\mathcal{R}$, and $a_{\mathbf{g_1}}$ is absolutely convergent over $\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, $B_{a_{\mathbf{g_1}}}\mathcal{O}$ is a free module generated by the Laplace transform associated with the measure μ*

$$L(f)(u) = \frac{2}{\pi^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-\overline{z}.u} f(z) d\mu(z). \tag{3}$$

2. Let $\mathbf{g_2} = (|\gamma| + n - 1)!(-i)^{|\gamma|}$. Then $\mathbf{g_2}$ is not in $\mathcal{R}$, and $a_{\mathbf{g_2}}$ is absolutely convergent over $\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, $B_{a_{\mathbf{g_2}}}\mathcal{O}$ is a free module generated by the Fourier transform associated with the measure μ

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(u) = \frac{2}{\pi^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-i\overline{z}.u} f(z) d\mu(z).$$

3. *Let* $\mathbf{g_3} = (c)^{|\gamma|}, c > o$. *Then* $\mathbf{g_3}$ *is not in* $\mathcal{R}$, *and* $a_{\mathbf{g_1}}$ *is absolutely convergent over* $\mathbb{C}$. *Moreover,* $B_{a_{\mathbf{g_3}}}\mathcal{O}$ *is a free module generated by the Hankel transform associated with the measure* μ

$$\mathcal{H}(f)(u) = \frac{2}{\pi^n}\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} J_n(c(\overline{z}.u))f(z)d\mu(z).$$

Remark 1. *Note the the operators,* $B_{a_{\mathbf{g_1}}}, B_{a_{\mathbf{g_2}}}$ *and* $B_{a_{\mathbf{g_3}}}$ *cited above are well defined on some subspaces of* $\mathcal{E}$, *like Schwartz space and my be other Lebesgue spaces.*

Proof. Proof of *1.* Let $\mathbf{g_1} = (|\gamma| + n - 1)!(-1)^{|\gamma|}$, and take $M = n - 1$ in (1), it turns out that

$$a_{\mathbf{g_1}}(\overline{z}, u) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^m}{m!}\sum_{|\gamma|=m,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^n}\frac{m!}{\gamma!}\overline{z}^{\gamma}u^{\gamma}.$$

Using the fact that $\sum_{|\gamma|=m,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^n}\frac{m!}{\gamma!}\overline{z}^{\gamma}u^{\gamma} = (\overline{z}.u)^m$, we obtain

$$a_{\mathbf{g_1}}(\overline{z}, u) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}e^{-\overline{z}.u}.$$

The proofs of *2.* and *3.* are similar to that of *1.*, which completes the proof.

▲

Remark 2. *Note that* $|\gamma|!^k\mathcal{R}$, *are free modules over* $\mathcal{R}$, *for all integer* k , *while* $a_{|\gamma|!^2}\star\mathcal{S}$ *and* $a_{|\gamma|!^k}\star\mathcal{S}$, $k \geq 3$ *are formal modules over the ring* S *since the symbol* $a_{|\gamma|!^2}$ *converges only over a disc of* $\mathbb{C}$ *and the symbols* $a_{|\gamma|!^k}$, $k \geq 3$ *are divergent. So the extension via the powers of* $|\gamma|!$ *is too restricted.*

3.2 Projective Modules

$\mathcal{M}$ is said to be a projective module over a ring $\mathcal{T}$ if it is a summand of a free module, that is there is a free module $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ for some module $\mathcal{K}$ over $\mathcal{T}$.

Proposition 1. *Let* A *be a proper subset of* $\mathbb{N}^n$. *Then* $\chi_A\mathcal{R}$ *is a projective module*

Proof. The proof follows from the identity $\mathcal{R} = \chi_A\mathcal{R} \oplus \chi_{A^c}\mathcal{R}$. ▲

Corollary 1. *Let* $n = 1$ *and* $\mathbf{g}(\gamma) = |\gamma|!(-1)^{|\gamma|}$. *Then* $\mathbf{g}$ *is not in* $\mathcal{R}$, *and* $a_{\mathbf{g}}$ *is absolutely convergent over* $\mathbb{C}$. *Moreover, if* A_o, *and* A_e *are the sets of odd and even integers of* $\mathbb{N}$ *respectively, then we obtain*

- $\mathbf{g}\mathcal{R} = \mathbf{g}\chi_{A_e}\mathcal{R} \oplus \mathbf{g}\chi_{A_o}\mathcal{R}$.
- $a_{\mathbf{g}}(\overline{z}, u) = e^{-\overline{z}u}$. *Moreover,* $a_{\mathbf{g}}(\overline{z}, u)\star\mathcal{S} = \cosh(\overline{z}u)\star\mathcal{S} \oplus \sinh(-\overline{z}u)\star\mathcal{S}$.
- $B_{a_{\mathbf{g}}} = L$. *Moreover,* $B_{a_{\mathbf{g}}}\circ\mathcal{O} = B_{\cosh(\overline{z}u)}\circ\mathcal{O}\oplus B_{\sinh(-\overline{z}u)}\circ\mathcal{O}$, *where* L *is Laplace transform* (3).

Therefore, $\mathbf{g}\chi_{A_e}\mathcal{R}$, $\mathbf{g}\chi_{A_o}\mathcal{R}$, $\cosh(\overline{z}u)\star\mathcal{S}$, $\sinh(-\overline{z}u)\star\mathcal{S}$, $B_{\cosh(\overline{z}u)}\circ\mathcal{O}$, $B_{\sinh(-\overline{z}u)}\circ\mathcal{O}$, *are projective modules over the rings* $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}$ *and* $\mathcal{O}$ *respectively.*

The following proposition illustrates that some projective modules over the ring of ponderation functions $\mathcal{R}$ are generated by a class of operators with hyperbolic symbols in two dimension.

Proposition 2. *Let $n = 2$ and $\mathbf{g}(\gamma) = (|\gamma| + 1)!$. Then $\mathbf{g}$ is not in $\mathcal{R}$ and $a_{\mathbf{g}}$ is absolutely convergent over $\mathbb{C}$. Define*
$A_{ee} = \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2,\ p$ even, and q even$\}$, $A_{oo} = \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2,\ p$ odd, and q odd$\}$,
$A_{oe} = \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2,\ p$ odd, and q even$\}$ and $A_{eo} = \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2,\ p$ even, and q odd$\}$, then

1. *$B_{\mathbf{g}\chi_{A_{ee}}}$ is the hyperbolic Fourier cosine transform associated with the measure μ,*

$$B_{\mathbf{g}\chi_{A_{ee}}}(f) = \frac{2}{\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{C}^2} \cosh(\overline{z_1}u_1)\cosh(\overline{z_2}u_2) f(z) d\mu(z).$$

2. *$B_{\mathbf{g}\chi_{A_{oo}}}$ is the hyperbolic Fourier sine transform associated with the measure μ,*

$$B_{\mathbf{g}\chi_{A_{oo}}}(f) = \frac{2}{\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{C}^2} \sinh(\overline{z_1}u_1)\sinh(\overline{z_2}u_2) f(z) d\mu(z).$$

3. *$B_{\mathbf{g}\chi_{A_{eo}}}$ is the hyperbolic Fourier cosine-sine transform associated with the measure μ,*

$$B_{\mathbf{g}\chi_{A_{eo}}}(f) = \frac{2}{\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{C}^2} \cosh(\overline{z_1}u_1)\sinh(\overline{z_2}u_2) f(z) d\mu(z).$$

4. *$B_{\mathbf{g}\chi_{A_{oe}}}$ is the hyperbolic Fourier sine-cosine transform associated with the measure μ,*

$$B_{\mathbf{g}\chi_{A_{oe}}}(f) = \frac{2}{\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{C}^2} \sinh(\overline{z_1}u_1)\cosh(\overline{z_2}u_2) f(z) d\mu(z).$$

Proof. Proof of *1.* Making use of (1) with $\mathbf{g}\chi_{A_{ee}} = (|\gamma| + 1)!\chi_{A_{ee}}(\gamma)$ we obtain

$$a_{\mathbf{g}\chi_{A_{ee}}}(\overline{z}, u) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2r)!}\sum_{\substack{\gamma_1+\gamma_2=2r\\ \gamma_1,\,\gamma_2\ even}}\frac{(2r)!}{\gamma_1!\gamma_2!}(\overline{z}_1u_1)^{\gamma_1}(\overline{z}_2u_2)^{\gamma_2}.$$

Thanks to the identity

$$\sum_{\substack{\gamma_1+\gamma_2=m\\ \gamma_1,\,\gamma_2\ even}}\frac{m!}{\gamma_1!\gamma_2!}a^{\gamma_1}b^{\gamma_2} = \frac{1}{2}[(a+b)^m + (a-b)^m]$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\mathbf{g}\chi_{A_{ee}}}(\overline{z}, u) &= \frac{1}{4}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2r)!}[(\overline{z}_1u_1 + \overline{z}_2u_2)^{2r} + (\overline{z}_1u_1 - \overline{z}_2u_2)^{2r}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\cosh(\overline{z}_1u_1)\cosh(\overline{z}_2u_2). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of *1.* The proofs of *2.*, *3.*, and *4.* follow likewise.

▲

Theorem 3. *Define $A_{ee}, A_{oo}, A_{eo}, A_{oe}$ as in Proposition 2. Then the $\mathcal{O}$-modules $B_{\chi_{A_{ee}}} \circ \mathcal{O}$, $B_{\chi_{A_{oo}}} \circ \mathcal{O}$, $B_{\chi_{A_{eo}}} \circ \mathcal{O}$ and $B_{\chi_{A_{oe}}} \circ \mathcal{O}$ are projective.*

Proof. One can prove easily that $\mathcal{R} = \chi_{A_{ee}}\mathcal{R} \oplus \chi_{A_{oo}}\mathcal{R} \oplus \chi_{A_{eo}}\mathcal{R} \oplus \chi_{A_{oe}}\mathcal{R}$. Thus Proposition 2 yields

$$\mathcal{O} = B_{cc} \circ \mathcal{O} \oplus B_{ss} \circ \mathcal{O} \oplus B_{cs} \circ \mathcal{O} \oplus B_{sc} \circ \mathcal{O},$$

that is $B_{\chi_{A_{ee}}} \circ \mathcal{O}$, $B_{\chi_{A_{oo}}} \circ \mathcal{O}$, $B_{\chi_{A_{eo}}} \circ \mathcal{O}$ and $B_{\chi_{A_{oe}}} \circ \mathcal{O}$ are projective since $\mathcal{O}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}-$module.
▲

3.3 Injective Modules

A module $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be an injective $\mathcal{T}-$module if every left ideal I of $\mathcal{T}$ and every homomorphism from I to $\mathcal{M}$ can be extended to a homomorphism from $\mathcal{T}$ to $\mathcal{M}$. Equivalently, for every left ideal I of $\mathcal{T}$ and every homomorphism $\alpha : I \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ there exists $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\alpha(\psi) = \psi\sigma$ for all $\psi \in I$.

Proposition 3. *The socle of $\mathcal{R}$ is not injective.*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{N}^n$ is countable so we can consider A_1, A_2, A_3, ... to be the singleton subsets of $\mathbb{N}^n$ then

$$soc(\mathcal{R}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \chi_{A_i}\mathcal{R} = \{\sum_{r=1}^{k} \chi_{A_r}\varphi_r, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \varphi_r \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

Now define A_{odd} to be the union of A_r and r odd and $\alpha : soc(\mathcal{R}) \longrightarrow soc(\mathcal{R})$ by

$$\alpha(\sum_{r=1}^{k} \chi_{A_r}\varphi_r) = \chi_{A_{odd}} \sum_{r=1}^{k} \chi_{A_r}\varphi_r.$$

Suppose that α has an extension $\beta : \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow soc(\mathcal{R})$ with $\beta(1) = \sigma$, then we get $\beta(\sum_{r=1}^{k} \chi_{A_r}\varphi_r) = \sigma \sum_{r=1}^{k} \chi_{A_r}\varphi_r = \alpha(\sum_{r=1}^{k} \chi_{A_r}\varphi_r) = \chi_{A_{odd}} \sum_{r=1}^{k} \chi_{A_r}\varphi_r, \quad \forall \sum_{r=1}^{k} \chi_{A_r}\varphi_r \in soc(\mathcal{R})$, which is impossible. Then the $soc(\mathcal{R})$ is not injective. Indeed, let $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \chi_{A_i}\varphi_i$. Take $f = \sum_{i=1}^{2r+1} \chi_{A_i}\varphi_i$, it follows that $\sigma f = \sigma \sum_{i=1}^{r} \chi_{A_i}\varphi_i^2$ and $\chi_{A_{odd}} f = \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \chi_{A_{2i-1}}\varphi_{2i-1}$. Thus $\sigma f \neq \chi_{A_{odd}} f$. ▲

3.4 Simple Modules over $\mathcal{R}$

A module $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be simple if it has no submodules.

Proposition 4. *[5] Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{T}-$Module. Then the following are equivalent*

1. *$\mathcal{M}$ is simple.*
2. *$\mathcal{M} = m\mathcal{T}$ for every $0 \neq m \in \mathcal{M}$.*
3. *$\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{T}/K$ where K is a maximal ideal.*

Proposition 5. *Every ideal of the form $\chi_A\mathcal{R}$ where $|A| = 1$ is a simple module over the ring $\mathcal{R}$. Moreover if $A = \{\alpha\} \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ then the simple module $B_{a_{\chi_A}} \circ \mathcal{O}$ is generated by the operator*

$$B_{a_{\chi_A}}(f)(u) = \frac{2u^\alpha}{\pi^n(|\alpha| + n - 1)!\alpha!} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \overline{z}^\alpha f(z) K_0(2|z|) d\nu(z), \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Proof. The result follows from direct calculation as in [1, Proposition 15] .

Theorem 4. *The ring $\mathcal{R}$ has a simple module other than ones of the form $\chi_A\mathcal{R}$ with $|A| = 1$.*

Proof. Since $soc(\mathcal{R})$ is a proper ideal of $\mathcal{R}$, then $soc(\mathcal{R})$ is contained in a maximal ideal L. L can not be of the form $\chi_A\mathcal{R}$, with $|A^c| = 1$. Hence, thanks to Proposition 4, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{R}/L$ is a simple $\mathcal{R}-$module and is not isomorphic to $\chi_A\mathcal{R}$ with $|A| = 1$. Indeed, suppose that there is an isomorphism $\sigma : \chi_A\mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{R}/L$, with $|A| = 1$. Take $\sigma(\chi_A) = a + L, a \notin L$. Multiplying the two sides by χ_A and taking into account that σ is an isomorphism of modules, it follows $\chi_A\sigma(\chi_A) = \sigma(\chi_A) = \sigma(\chi_A)a + L = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Open problem. Consider the simple module $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{R}/L$ in the proof of the above Theorem and take an element θ in the class of 1, say $\overline{\theta} = \overline{1} \in \mathcal{M}$. Then according to [1, Remark 1], $a_\theta(\overline{z}, u)$ is nothing but the modified Bessel function $I_0(2\sqrt{\overline{z}u})$ except all finite sums and may be some other infinite sums but not all of them. The main question here is **How does the operator $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{a}_{\overline{\theta}}}$ looks like ?**

References

[1] Assal M., Zeyada N. New ring of a class of Bessel integral operators. Integral Transforms Spec Funct. 2016;27(8):611-619.

[2] Nguon D. Bessel integral operators. Integral Transforms Spec Funct. 2014;25(8):647-662.

[3] Nguon D. Covariant symbolic calculus for Toeplitz operators on the sphere. Integral Transforms Spec Funct. 2007;18(4):255-269.

[4] Atiyah, MF, Macdonald, IG. Introduction to Commutative Algebra. Westview Press: New York; 1969.

[5] Pierce RS. Associative Algebras. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 88: Springer-Verlag; 1982.